## 13. ON THE VALIDITY OF *TURDOIDES CAUDATUS ECLIPES* (HUME)

In 1877, Hume (Stray Feathers 5, p. 337) described a new species of Babbler from northwestern India as Chatorhea (now Turdoides) eclipes "Like C. caudata, but much larger, the upper surface darker and more strongly striated, tail more strongly banded, feathers of breast and sides dark shafted". He also referred to its being as large as huttoni (Blyth, type Kandahar, Afghanistan) from Khelat and Persia, but much darker and warmer coloured than huttoni which is paler and greyer, and said the ear-coverts were darker than in caudatus in India.

Oates 1889, Fauna 1, p. 106, included both huttoni and eclipes as synonyms of caudata. Baker 1922, Fauna 1, pp. 198/9, accepted huttoni from Afghanistan, Baluchistan and S.E. Persia, as also a specimen from "the Jay River Hills" in Sind as very typical, but said he could not separate eclipes. Later, 1926, Ticehurst JBNHS 31, p. 491, corrected his earlier (Ibis 1922, p. 540) statement that eclipes was the same as nominate caudata. On p. 694 of the same Journal he re-confirms its distinctness as an interesting form from Rawalpindi, Peshawar (type locality), Campbellpur (south to Kohat?). In Indian Handbook (1971) 6, p. 215, it is again synonymised with caudatus. while huttoni is accepted in West Pakistan.

While cataloguing the Bombay collection it was evident that the birds from the northwest were strikingly different from *caudata* and the characters referred to in the original description were very constant and perhaps justified Hume's statement that it "was far more entitled to specific (now subspecific) distinction than *C. huttoni* Blyth.

The bars on the tail are visible in some caudatus, but not in any of the larger races,

Tail 115-130 av. 120 95-112 av. 108 113-130) 104-129 av. 119 112-128)	94-123 av. 110.4 87-110 av. 102.5 101) 102, 106, 107
Tarsus 25.2-27.2 av. 26.4 22.5-28.5 av. 25.9 26.5-30 av. 28.9 28.30	21.2-26.5 av. 24.7 24-29.5 av. 26.3 27-29 26.6, 27.5, 28.5
Bill 18.2-20.4 av. 19.6 17-20.6 av. 18.7 from skull 20-23 over 25 20.5-22.6 av. 21.6 from skull 21-25	18-20.6 av. 19.7 18.4-19.5 av. 19 from skull 20-22 21, 21.6, 21.8
Wing 82-87 av. 84.6 76-83 av. 79 (IH 76-85 84-91 av. 87.5 (IH 3 2 85-94	78-84 av. 80 74-81 av. 76.8 (IH 72-82 81, 85, 87
\$ & eclipes (5) caudatus (27) huttoni (4)	eclipes (5) caudatus (10) huttoni (3)

huttoni and salvadori (De Filippi, Shiraz, Fars) from the north and west.

The 11 (5  $\sigma$   $\sigma$  5  $\varphi$  1 o?) available from Peshawar (2), Rawalpindi (5), Campbellpur (1), Jholar, Kala Chitta Hills, Salt Range (1), South Waziristan (1) and Damdil, Waziristan (1) may be said to be of this form, the eastern distributional limit being the Jhelum and not the Indus.

75, ABDUL REHMAN STREET, BOMBAY-400 003.

RESEARCH ASSISTANT,
BOMBAY NATURAL HISTORY SOCIETY,
SHAHID BHAGAT SINGH ROAD,
BOMBAY-400 023,
November 13, 1981.

One of us (H.A.) has a note to the effect that Gaston (1975) said that the birds in the Salt Range were larger than those in Delhi, but the source cannot be traced. *Eclipes* is accepted in Peters Checklist vol. X, p. 333 (1964).

The evidence appears to be sufficient to establish the validity of the race *eclipes*.

, HUMAYUN ABDULALI

ERIC D'CUNHA

## 14. DESTRUCTION OF PEARL MILLET NURSERY BY SPARROWS *PASSER DOMESTICUS* (LINNAEUS) AND ITS AVOIDANCE

Damage due to bird pests is always taken for granted and is not paid due attention. Birds cause losses to almost all the millet crops throughout the cultivation range and the damage is severe in some places (Jotwani *et al.* 1967). They deserve attention in arid areas where the damage commences from sowing stage and needs protection with suitable pesticide treatment (Bhatnagar 1976).

Sparrows, hitherto considered as the major pest problem in this region for ripening fields of pearl millet, sorghum, sunflower and paddy etc., are now causing concern by widespread destruction of pearl millet crop at the nursery stage. It was observed this year in Kharif 1980, that the pearl millet experimental downy mildew sick plot nursery of about one acre at the College Farm of Andhra Pradesh Agri-

cultural University, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad, was damaged to an extent of 100 per cent. The birds damage the crop before there is evidence for the need to control. The present investigation deals with the attack and nature of damage caused by sparrows, and the effective method employed for its avoidance.

Sparrows visit the field in small or large congregations or even singly and feed on the seed grains. Their colour being similar to that of soil, they are not noticed.

Sparrows expose with their beaks pre-germinated and germinated seeds and pull out the just sprouted seedlings before they establish and also feed on the individual ripening grains. The seedlings which escape and reach vegetative phase have their tiny stems stripped off by the sparrows, and in course of time wither